

**Issues raised at the Borough, Bankside and Walworth Community Council  
meeting held on 2 July 2012**

<b>Issue</b>	<b>Action</b>
Two questions in relation to Hanworth House – scanned	The questioners have been responded to directly.
Question on double dip recession and rent increases – scanned	Awaiting response
What will become of the leftover funds from the CGS fund 2010-12? Would this money be allocated to the organisations left out of the 2012 bid? Could you let us know what the total amount of money is surplus in the fund?	<p>Any monies left unallocated or underspent are reported back to the Community Council to reallocate. It is up to the Community Council how they wish to use such monies either by reallocating to other projects immediately or holding it for future reallocation.</p> <p>A reallocation report will be tabled at the 10 October meeting of the Community Council including financial information.</p>
When will the exhibition of the Winchester Palace reopen? The adjoining cafe has the key and says the council has told them not to unlock the access door. Also can the moss on the new wall be removed?	<p>This comes under the responsibility of English Heritage so they would have to advise.</p> <p>0870 333 1181 is given on the website as the Customer Service contact</p>
Have the council finally come to a suitable agreement concerning the current barrier between the Globe and 48 Bankside? The recently installed barrier is obviously a big improvement on the 'borrowed' utility barriers that had been allowed to stand there for many years. After many years the said gate was transferred to cardinal cap alley, the gate has recently been left open. Has there been an agreement with the bankside houses and the council to have occasional opening. If so when are these opening scheduled?	<p>The Council considers any barrier that blocks this part of the public highway as an obstruction to the public highway. It is the Council's intention to request that the landowner removes the barrier as soon as the new rising bollards on New Globe Walk are fully operational. The council reserves the right to undertake this work if the landowner does not comply.</p> <p>The Council is continuing to seek a solution to the various issues presented by Cardinal Cap alley and Skin Market Place and is working with the local stakeholders who are affected. Any proposals will be subject to public consultation.</p>
Can the council remove the very large 'whiskey shop' advertising board from Clink Street which is to be part of the Olympic Riverside Walkway? Are the council bringing in a new system to license pavement boards?	Awaiting response
Door entry system for Meakin/Elim Estate and Decima Street properties – residents would like to know what is delaying the provision of their door entry system which has been	Thank you for your enquiry regarding the installation of door entry systems on the Elim and Meakin Estate. The installation of door entry systems on the Elim and Meakin

<b>Issue</b>	<b>Action</b>
<p>approved in the Project Bank since 2008? With currently three development going on within Meakin Estate and Elim Estate, residents have been facing serious ASB due to the use of the staircases.</p>	<p>Estate, has been noted as a local priority on the project bank list but regrettably, there are no current plans for the installation of these systems as funding is not available. The council's Warm, Dry, Safe investment programme focuses on core items such as roofs, kitchen, bathrooms etc. and the installation of door entry systems currently sits outside these priorities.</p> <p>We are in the process of revising the project bank, and the officer responsible for this task is aware of your concerns. Separately, we are also collating a list of works, like security and door entry systems, that are not currently funded by the housing investment programme, and if funding does become available in the future, we will also look to carry out works as part of the major works programme. I am sorry that I am unable to confirm when, or if, funding might become available at the present time but I can assure you that Elim and Meakin have been added to this list of works.</p> <p>In the meantime, I note your concerns regarding anti social behaviour on the staircases, and I have asked the local team to work with the team responsible for tackling ASB (SASBU) to see what we can proactively do to manage this situation for residents. I hope that this information is helpful.</p>
<p>What is the council doing about the noise disturbances currently going on from the two construction sites: Wild Rent Street development (SE1) and Decima Street (SE1). These constructions have been going on at the same time and next to other which is having an effect on residents. How could the planning and development of the site be so coincidental creating a nuisance for residents?</p>	<p>The former has planning permission dated 03/08/2011 LBS Reg.No.11-AP-0217 for the erection of a building of five storeys comprising 37 residential units (7 x 3-bed, 13 x 2-bed, 17 x 1-bed), commercial units for use as office/community use (Use Class B1/D1), and associated servicing, cycle parking and car parking (4 car parking spaces and 46 cycle parking spaces). The developer is Mr Simon Homes, Vision Homes Ltd, The Thames Suite, 133 Creek Road, SE8 3BU, 0845 230 4480.</p> <p>Condition 13 of the extant planning permission 11-AP-0217 required details of an Environmental Management Plan and Environmental Code of Practice (which shall oblige the applicant/developer and its contractors to use all best endeavours to minimise disturbances including but not limited to noise, vibration, dust, smoke and plant emissions emanating from the site)</p>

Issue	Action
	<p>which shall include the following information:</p> <p>A detailed specification of demolition (including method and foundation piling) and construction works for each phase of development including consideration of environmental impacts and the required remedial measures. A detailed specification of engineering measures, acoustic screening and sound insulation measures required to mitigate or eliminating specific environmental impacts;</p> <p>Details of arrangements for publicity and promotion of the scheme during construction;</p> <p>A commitment to adopt and implement of the ICE Demolition Protocol and Southwark's Environmental Code of Construction and GLA Best Practice Guidance.</p> <p>A Delivery and Servicing Plan (all construction access routes and access details also need to be approved by TFL) shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority and the development shall not be carried out otherwise than in accordance with any such approval given and the demolition and construction work shall be undertaken in strict accordance with the approved Management Plan and Code of Practice.</p> <p>The reason for imposing this condition was to ensure that occupiers of neighbouring premises do not suffer a loss of amenity by reason of pollution and nuisance in accordance with saved Policies 3.1 'Environmental Effects', 3.2 'Protection of Amenity', 3.6 'Air Quality' and 3.10 'Hazardous Substances' of The Southwark Plan 2007 and Strategic Policy 13 'High Environmental Standards' of the Draft Core Strategy.</p> <p>Details of an Environmental Management Plan and Environmental Code of Practice as required by condition 13 of planning permission 11-AP-2011 were discharged on 12/12/2012.</p> <p>The latter site (17-19 DECIMA STREET, LONDON SE1 4QG (AKA UNIT 5 20-30 WILDS RENTS SE1 4QR0) was granted planning permission ref. no. 10-AP-0569 for the demolition of two live work units and</p>

Issue	Action
	<p>reconstruction to provide three employment units on the ground floor, two residential apartments and two residential maisonettes in a part two/part four/part five storey building. This permission is not fettered by construction management conditions but is still obliged to comply with statutory working hours and environmental protection controls.</p> <p><b>Action Taken</b></p> <p>Vision Homes (VH) have been contacted and reminded of their obligation to comply with the terms of the construction management plan. VH are going to remind all contractors and operatives working at the site of the terms/obligations of the construction management plan.</p> <p>However, VH have asked for specific details of the disturbances on site in order to pin point the cause for a swifter resolution. Residents to get in touch with planning enforcement directly at (planning.enforcement@southwark.gov.uk).</p>
<p>Can TfL improve the pedestrian crossing between Borough Market and St Thomas' Street? This is a very busy crossing point and will be considerably more so in the future.</p>	<p><b>Response from TFL</b></p> <p>TfL is aware of the issues with the junction and is working with stakeholders to determine the best course of action.</p> <p>The area around London Bridge is currently undergoing significant change with a number of in-progress and planned works including the Thames Link extension, Shard of Glass development, London Bridge Bus Station upgrade and utilities works. Furthermore, Network Rail are undertaking a 5 year redevelopment of London Bridge Station starting in January 2013. Clearly, all of these improvements will have implications for the volume of pedestrians visiting the area.</p> <p>The pressure of these works (both in progress and being planned) makes it difficult for TfL to undertake major revisions to the road network with sufficient confidence that they will provide the best long term solution and subsequent value for money to the general public. It is also proving a major challenge to schedule the competing works in a way that minimises the inconvenience to</p>

Issue	Action
	<p>the general public.</p> <p>With respect to the Borough High Street/ St Thomas Street junction, this is something we are discussing with Network Rail as they will have a planning obligations to provide improvements to the road network which will support the changes to London Bridge Station. Improvements to the junction are likely to be essential but until Network Rail have finalised their plans we are unable to carry out the detailed modelling and investigation required. However, we share your desire to provide the safest and best possible facilities for pedestrians using the junction.</p> <p>We are considering some temporary improvements to the crossing points and will be discussing these with stakeholders in the near future. In the mean time there are currently signalised crossing points at Southwark Street and also one at London Bridge Street, which provide alternatives to St Thomas Street.</p> <p>I thank you once again for taking the time to contact TfL.</p>
<p>As a follow up to the following question submitted to the Walworth community council :Who gave permission for a cash point to be put outside to William Hill in East Street, which restricts the width of the pavement?</p> <p>What was the notification/consultation process before this decision was taken?</p>	<p>Please note, the standard 21 day statutory consultation period was carried out with letters being sent to the immediate neighbours. A site notice was displayed in the immediate vicinity of the application site. The Transport Planning Team advised that the footway width was adequate to accommodate the installation and did not object to the proposal.</p> <p>The full planning application (with the list of immediate neighbours consulted) is able to be viewed on the council's website.</p>
<p>Why was the regeneration of Stead Street Car Park decided before any consultation?</p>	<p>Consultation was carried out ahead of the submission of a detailed planning application and the award of planning consent. This is summarised in the Statement of Community Involvement which was submitted as part of the planning application.</p>

<b>Issue</b>	<b>Action</b>
In relation to issues experienced at a new development at City Walk off Long Lane a resident asked environmental health to clarify what their procedures were once a complaint had been made to an environmental health officer?	Awaiting response